

**KLESTADT WINTERS JURELLER
SOUTHARD & STEVENS, LLP**

200 West 41st Street, 17th Floor

New York, NY 10036-7203

Telephone: (212) 972-3000

Facsimile: (212) 972-2245

Tracy L. Klestadt

Brendan M. Scott

*Attorneys for Tracy L. Klestadt in his capacity as
Court Appointed Plan Administrator for Evergreen
Gardens Mezz LLC*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	:	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
EVERGREEN GARDENS MEZZ LLC, et al.	:	Case No. 21-10335 (MG)
	:	
Debtors.¹	:	
	:	
-----X	:	

**EGM PLAN ADMINISTRATOR’S OBJECTION TO
PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 34 FILED BY AURA ELECTRICAL SUPPLY INC.**

Tracy L. Klestadt, in his capacity as court appointed Plan Administrator the “EGM Plan Administrator”) of Post-Effective Date EGM (“EGM”) in these chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Cases”), by and through its undersigned counsel, submits this objection (the “Objection”) to claim

¹ The debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, as applicable, are Evergreen Gardens Mezz LLC (0416); Evergreen Gardens I LLC (2211); and Evergreen Gardens II LLC (6782) (collectively the “Debtors”). The Debtors’ principal offices are located at 199 Lee Avenue, Suite 693, Brooklyn, New York 11211.

number 34(the “Claim”) filed by Aura Electrical Supply Inc. (“Claimant”) in the amount of \$249,068.00 (the “Claim Amount”), and respectfully states as follows:

CASE BACKGROUND

1. On February 22, 2021 (the “Initial Debtor Petition Date”), Evergreen Gardens Mezz LLC (“EGM”) commenced a voluntary proceeding under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with this Court. On September 14, 2021 (the “Subsidiary Debtors Petition Date”, and together with the Initial Debtor Petition Date, each a “Petition Date”), Evergreen Gardens I, LLC (“EG I”) and Evergreen Gardens II, LLC (“EG II” and, together with EG I, the “Subsidiary Debtors”) commenced their own voluntary proceedings under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

2. On November 5, 2021, the Court entered the *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order (I) Approving Debtors’ (A) Disclosure Statement, (B) Procedures for the Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes, and (C) Forms of Ballots and Related Notices, and (II) Confirming Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Evergreen Gardens I LLC, Evergreen Gardens II LLC, and Evergreen Gardens Mezz LLC* [ECF No. 222] (the “Confirmation Order”), approving, among other things, the terms of the *Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Evergreen Gardens I LLC, Evergreen Gardens II LLC, and Evergreen Gardens Mezz LLC*, dated October 28, 2021 [ECF No. 187] (the “Plan”).

3. The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on December 2, 2021. *See Notice of (I) Entry of Order Confirming Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Evergreen Gardens I LLC, Evergreen Gardens II LLC, and Evergreen Gardens Mezz LLC, and (II) Occurrence of Plan Effective Date* [ECF No. 275].

4. On October 6, 2021, the Bankruptcy Court entered the *Order Establishing Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof* (the “Bar Date”

Order”) [Docket No. 133] fixing a deadline (the “Bar Date”) and establishing procedures for filing proofs of claim against the Debtors and their estates pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3003(c)(3) and approving the form and manner of service thereof. The Bar Date Order fixed November 12, 2021, at 5:00 p.m. (EST) as the Bar Date for the filing of all claims which arose against (i) the Initial Debtor (EGM), on or prior to the Initial Debtor Petition Date; or (ii) the Subsidiary Debtors (EG I and EG II), on or prior to the filing of the Subsidiary Debtors Petition Date.

5. The Bar Date Notice and a proof of claim form personalized to show name and address, claim classification and amount, and the manner in which each creditor was scheduled in the Debtor’s cases were mailed to the creditors listed in the affidavit of service filed at Dkt. No. 155. Further, the Bar Date Notice was published in both The New York Times and Haaretz Daily Newspaper. See Case Dkt. Nos 149 & 163.

6. On October 26, 2021 Claimant file the Claim and asserted it is owed a secured claim in the amount of \$249,068.00. A copy of the Claim is annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

RELIEF REQUESTED

7. By this Objection, the EGM Plan Administrator requests entry of an order reducing the Claim to \$147,291.53.

8. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Upon an objection, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. See Rozier v. Rescap Borrower Claims Tr. (In re Residential Capital, LLC), 15 CV. 3248 (KPF), 2016 WL 796860, at *9 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 22, 2016); In re Arcapita Bank B.S.C.(c), No. 12– 11076 (SHL), 2013 WL 6141616, at *1 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 21, 2013), aff’d. sub nom. In re Arcapita Bank B.S.C. (c), 508 B.R. 814 (S.D.N.Y. 2014); In re Motors Liquidation Co., Nos.

09-50026 (REG), 11 CV. 8444 (RJS), 2012 WL 1886755, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. May 21, 2012); In re Oneida, Ltd., 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009), *aff'd*, No. 09 CV. 2229 (DC), 2010 WL 234827, at *5 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 22, 2010).

9. Further, “[c]laims can be disallowed for failure to support the claim with sufficient evidence, even if this is not a specifically enumerated reason for disallowance under 11 U.S.C. § 502(b), because absent adequate documentation, the proof of claim is not sufficient for the objector to concede the validity of a claim.” In re Minbatiwalla, 424 B.R. 104, 119 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010) (Chapter 13 debtor-mortgagor's objection to proof of claim sustained where claimant, based on documentation attached to its proof, was not shown to be either a servicer or assignee of mortgage debt, and where claimant failed to respond to request by debtor's counsel for additional supporting documentation beyond claim summary attached to its proof.); *see also* Gyalpo v. Holbrook Dev. Corp., 577 B.R. 629, 641–42 (E.D.N.Y. 2017); Holzer v. Barnard, No. 15-CV-6277 (JFB), 2016 WL 4046767, at *7 (E.D.N.Y. July 27, 2016).

10. An objection on the grounds of insufficient documentation requires a response from the creditor. If the creditor fails to respond to such an objection, the objection will be sustained after a hearing on notice. In re Porter, 374 B.R. 471, 483 (Bankr. D. Conn. 2007). The documents submitted by the Claimant are woefully deficient in evidencing its entitlement to the Claim, as well as the secured nature of the Claim.

11. In the instant case, the documents submitted by the Claimant conflict with the Debtor's books and records and are deficient in evidencing its entitlement to the Claim Amount.

12. Since the Plan Effective Date, the Plan Administrator has reviewed relevant books and records, including copies of invoices and purchase orders and the Debtor's ledger report, interviewed Debtor employees and agents regarding the Debtor's historical billing practices, and

compared the Claim Amount to the Debtor's books and records in an effort to determine whether the Claim Amount is, in whole or in part, properly asserted against the Debtor. Based on that review, the EGM Plan Administrator has determined that the Debtor's books and records reflect that, at most, \$147,291.53 is owed to Claimant on account of the Claim for work performed by the Claimant on behalf of the Debtor. Accordingly, the EGM Plan Administrator asks the Court to enter an order reducing the Claim to \$147,291.53.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

13. The EGM Plan Administrator reserves the right to further amend, supplement, revise, object or otherwise respond to the Claim on any and all additional factual or legal grounds relevant to the Claim, and, without limiting the foregoing, to (i) amend the Objection; (ii) adjourn any hearing scheduled on the Objection; (iii) file additional papers in support of the Objection; and (iv) take all other appropriate actions to (A) respond to any allegation or defense that may be raised in a response filed by or on behalf of Claimant or other party-in-interest, (B) further object to the Claim in the event that Claimant provides (or attempts to provide) additional documentation or substantiation of its Claim, and/or (C) further object to the Claim based on additional information that may be discovered upon further review by the EGM Plan Administrator or through discovery pursuant to the applicable provisions of Part VII of the Bankruptcy Rules.

NOTICE

14. Notice of this Objection has been provided to: (i) the Claimant at the address listed on the Claimant's proof of claim, (ii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, and (iii) all parties who have filed a notice of appearance in the Case. The

Trustee submits such notice is sufficient for the relief requested and that no other or further notice need be provided.

WHEREFORE, the EGM Plan Administrator respectfully requests that this Court (a) enter an order granting the relief requested herein, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B, and (b) grant the EGM Plan Administrator such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 10, 2022
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

**KLESTADT WINTERS JURELLER
SOUTHARD & STEVENS, LLP**

By: /s/ Brendan M. Scott
Tracy L. Klestadt
Brendan M. Scott
200 West 41st Street, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10036-7203
Telephone: (212) 972-3000
Facsimile: (212) 972-2245
tklestadt@klestadt.com
bscott@klestadt.com

*Attorneys for Tracy L. Klestadt in his capacity
as Court Appointed Plan Administrator for
Evergreen Gardens Mezz LLC*